RESTRICTED ROTATION INVOLVING THE TETRAHEDRAL CARBON. IV. RESTRICTED ROTATION OF METHYL GROUPS IN l-t-BUTYL-1,4-DIHYDRONAPHTHALENE 1,4-ENDOXIDE DERIVATIVES $^{\tt l}$ 

Hiroshl Nakanlshl and Osamu Yamamoto

Natlonal Chemical Laboratory for Industry, l-l-5, Honmachl, Shibuya-Ku, Tokyo Mikio Nakamura and Michinori Oki Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, The Unlverslty of Tokyo,

Hongo, Bunkyo-Ku, Tokyo, Japan

(Hecelved In Japan 16 December 1972; received in UK for publloatlon 23 January 1973)

Although there have been ample examples of the restrlcted rotation, as revealed by the NMR technique, of the t-butyl group<sup>2</sup>, little is known about the restricted rotation of the methyl group In the llquld phase. The only report on the hindered rotation of methyl group seems to us the one by Bartle et al<sup>3</sup> which showed the broadening of the methyl signal of 9-methyl-9,9'bifluorenyl at -60°C. Since the barrier of rotation about the  $C_{Ma} - C_{Bu}$ <sup>t</sup> bond in neopentane is known to be ca.  $4.5$  Kcal/mole, one may have to lower the temperature very deeply in order to see the restricted rotation In this type of compound by the proton NMR technique in the llquld phase.

During the course of an extention of our study on the restricted rotation of the t-butyl group, we happened to encounter a very interesting phenomenon. Namely, the computer-simulated and observed spectral line shapes<sup>5</sup> of the t-butyl group in l-t-butyl-1,4-dihydronaphthalene-1,4-endoxide derivatives agreed well until temperature was lowered to about  $-60^{\circ}$  C, but the agreement became poor when temperature was further lowered. In this paper, we wish to report the experlmental results obtalned at further lower temperature to present evidence for the restricted rotation of methyl groups, as observed

\* To whom inquiries should be addressed.

727

by the proton NMR technique.

The compounds I, m.p.95-96°C, and II, m.p. 109-llO°C, were prepared by the Diels-Alder reactlon between 2-t-butylfuran and 1,2,3,4 reaction between 2-t-butylfuran and 1,2,3,4-<br>tetrachlorobenzyne and 1,4-dimethoxybenzyne,  $\overbrace{Y}$ respectively.<sup>6</sup> The NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian HA 100D spectrometer and the temperature was measured from the chemical \* shift difference between the hydroxy proton of methanol and TMS signals and also using a  $I : X = Y = Cl$ <br>calibrated thermocouple.  $\Pi : X = OM$ e



 $\mathbf{I}$ :  $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{OMe}$ ,  $\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{H}$ 

The NMR spectrum of the compound I in  $CS_{2}$ - $CH_2Cl_2$  at room temperature showed a sharp singlet of t-butyl group, whereas that at -6O'C showed three sharply seperated signals at 1.60, 1.24, and 1.03 ppm from internal TMS for the t-butyl group.<sup>7</sup> The signals of the methyl group seemed rather broad at -60°C. Therefore the temperature was lowered further to obtain better data of the chenical shifts. To our surprise, however, further broadening of the two methyl signals which were located at the higher field occurred, whereas the line width of the peak at the lowest field little changed

The reasons why the line width of the methyl groups became larger as the temperature was lowered may generally be one or more of the followings

- (1) The rotation of the methyl group is restricted
- (2) The line widths becone larger because of the small couplings between the methyl protons and other methane protons, which may be observed when the rotation of the t-butyl group becomes suffciently slow
- (3) A speclflc InteractIon may take place between the solute and the solvent
- (4) Vlscoslty of the solution 1s high at lower temperature

If the second reason were the case, the line shapes of the coupled methine protons should have lnevitablly changed as the peaks of the methyl groups broaden But actually the line shapes of the three methane protons of the compound I

remaln unchanged at all temperatures. Thus the second posslbillty 1s ruled out. The third posslblllty may be neglected, because it is highly improbable to consider the strong interactlon between the methyl group and the inert solvent molecules such as carbon disulfide and methylene chloride. The fact that the third peak A remains sharp at the lower temperature may be taken as another

piece of evidence supporting the ruling out of this posslblllty The fourth reason may also be neglected because of the fairly narrow line widths of the three methine protons and methyl A protons Therefore, the first reason becomes the choice.

In 'order to ascertain the possibility of strongly restricted rotation of the methyl groups, the NMR spectra of this compound were measured at further lower temperature uslnq condensed vinyl chloride as a solvent. The results are shown In the Figure The chemical shift differences among three methyl groups In vinyl chloride are found to be smaller than those in  $CS_2$ -  $CH_2Cl_2$ . Although lower temperature was needed to observe linebroadening with the  $CH_2=CHCl$  solution than with the  $CS_2$ -  $CH_2Cl_2$  solution, the two peaks B and C became broader as the temperature was lowered and the spectrum at -133'C clearly indicated that the peak C began to seperate into some peaks. Thus these spectra at the low temperature clearly suggest that the rotation of the two methyl groups B and C is strongly restricted, although the assignment of the methyl groups 1s obscure at the present time. The reason for the high barrier to





Flq Temperature dependence of the methyl signal of l-tbutyl-5,6,7,8-tetrachloro-1,4 dihydronaphthalene 1,4-endoxlde. A small peak at the extreme right is the  $^{13}$ C satellite of TMS.

730 No. 10

rotation must be the severe sterlc repulsion between the methyl groups and chlorine, etheno, and oxide bridges.

It 1s evident from the spectra in the figure that the degree In broadening of the twomethylpeaks B and C is different from each other, but It cannot be concluded Immediately that the rotational barriers of the two methyl groups are different to an appreciable extent, because at present we do not know the chemical shifts of six protons of the two methyl groups.

The NMR spectra of the compound II behave similarly as that of the compound I when the temperature was lowered and thus the rotation of methyl groups of t-butyl part of this compound 1s also slow at lower temperatures.

We claim that, to the best of our knowledge, these compounds are the first unambiguous examples, in which the slow rotation of a methyl group in the liquid phase 1s found by the use of proton NMR spectroscopy.

- 1) The preceding paper- M.Gki and **G.Yamamoto,** Chem. Lett., 1972, 45.
- 2) a) H.Kessler, Angew. Chem. Internt. Ed.,  $9$ , 219 (1970) and references therein. b) M.Oki and M.Suda, Bull.Chem. Soc Japan,  $44$ , 1876 (1971). c) J.E.Anderson and H.Pearson, Tetrahedron Lett., 1972, 2779 and references therein. d) C.H Bushweller, G.U.Rao, W.G.Anderson, and P.E.Stevenson, J.Amer Chem. Soc.,  $94$ , 4734 (1972).
- 3) K.D.Bartle, P.M.G.Bavln, D.W.Jones, and R.L'Amle, Tetrahedron, 26, 911 (1970)
- 4) J.R.Durlng et al reported the barrier to be 4.3 Kcal/mole from the farinfrared studies of the solid ( J.Chem. Phys., 52, 2046 (1970), whereas the calculation by Alllnger et al showed the barrier in the gas phase to be 4.64 Kcal/mole at 298°K ( J.Amer. Chem. Soc., 90, 1199 (1968).
- 5) Presented before the 27th Annual Meeting of the Chemical Society Of Japan at Nagoya ( October, 1972 ) and to be published in Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan.
- 6) These compounds gave satisfactory analytlcal and spectral data.
- 71 Although the relative chemical shifts are different, the spectrum was quite similar to the one shown In the figure.